

N-04/37/2021-CDD
Government of India
Ministry of Fisheries, Animal Husbandry & Dairying
Department of Animal Husbandry & Dairying
Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi
Dated: 07.07.2022


OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Subject: Minutes of the meeting with States/UTs to review progress on implementation of NAIP II and NAIP III

The undersigned is directed to state that a meeting with States/UTs to review progress on the implementation of NAIP was held on 20.06.2022, 22.06.2022, and 23.06.2022 virtually.

2. A copy of the minutes of the aforesaid meeting is enclosed for information and necessary action.

Encl: As above


(Dr. Bhushan Tyagi)
Joint Commissioner

Distribution:

Secretary/ Directors/Chief Executive Officers & Nodal officers of all States (except Kerala) and UTs (Jammu & Kashmir; Ladakh)

Copy to:

1. PPS to AS (C&DD), DAHD, GOI, New Delhi

MINUTES OF THE REVIEW MEETING ON NATIONWIDE ARTIFICIAL INSEMINATION PROGRAMME (NAIP) HELD ON 20th, 22nd & 23rd June 2022 THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE (VC)

Video Conference to review the progress of NAIP was held on 20th, 22nd & 23rd June 2022 under the Chairmanship of the Additional Secretary, (C&DD), DAHD, Ministry of Fisheries, Animal Husbandry and Dairying, Government of India. List of participant's is at **Annexure I**.

During the review meeting following discussion/ decision points emerged:

- (i) It was mentioned that all the participating States should increase pace of implementation of the scheme in order to achieve targets envisaged within prescribed time frame.
- (ii) All backlogs with regard to uploading of A.I data, Pregnancy diagnosis, Ear tagging, and calf born under NAIP Phase II should be completed on an immediate basis.
- (iii) It was suggested that retagging of the animals should be done through the procedure given in SOP of ear tagging by NDDB.
- (iv) States/UTs should implement EAT module of PFMS for daily uploading of expenditure. It was further mentioned that all States/ UTs should reconcile their unspent balance available on EAT module of PFMS on an immediate basis without any delay.
- (v) It was decided to arrange training for implementation of EAT module of PFMS for all States and UTs. The States may also contact the helpdesk of PFMS for reconciliation of unspent balance available on EAT module. EAT module PFMS showing the unspent balances with the States is at **Annexure-II**.
- (vi) All the States requested to give district wise data validation report in order to conduct detailed analysis and to rectify the errors committed. Data has already been made available to the States.
- (vii) It was mentioned that all States/ UTs should procure tags for tagging of calves under NADCP scheme on an immediate basis.
- (viii) It was reported that farmers are not interested to maintain crossbred male calves only male calves of indigenous breeds are maintained by farmers therefore calving percentage in most of the States are low.

20/23

(ix) Himachal Pradesh requested that there should be provision for data entry incentives for uploading data on INAPH portal. It was mentioned that there is provision under the scheme to hire data entry operators therefore incentives for data entry is not justified.

(x) Haryana reported that AI performed under PT/ PS programme is not shown on NAIP dashboard, therefore, State is facing difficulty in achieving targets envisaged under NAIP. The State was advised to contact NDDDB for rectifying the issue.

(xi) Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka informed that the lack of proper terminology for Artificial Insemination in local language is creating problems in data validation. State was requested to submit revised questionnaire to this Department for consultation with data validation agency.

(xii) Rajasthan Livestock Development Board was suggested to include RCDF in implementation of NAIP for achieving targets envisaged under the scheme.

(xiii) All NER States should conduct awareness programme for ear tagging to increase uploading of data on INAPH portal.

(xiv) Performance of Arunachal Pradesh in implementation of programme is not at par with other States. At present only data on INAPH is uploaded for 3 districts against 14 district covered under NAIP. Therefore, it is essential for the State to upload data for remaining districts on an urgent basis. It was mentioned that Arunachal Pradesh should increase pace of implementation of NAIP in order to achieve targets within prescribed time frame.

(xv) Nagaland and Manipur were instructed to depute their representative to Guwahati for training in uploading data on INAPH portal.

(xvi) It was mentioned that States, facing any issues regarding data uploading on INAPH portal may send their queries to inaphcell@nddb.coop.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

Annexure I

List of Participants of the Review Meeting on Nationwide Artificial Insemination Programme: 20th , 22 and 23 June 2022

1. Ms Varsha Joshi, Additional Secretary (C&DD), DAHD, GOI, New Delhi
2. Dr. Bhushan Tyagi, Joint Commissioner, DAHD, GOI, New Delhi
3. Dr Chandra Bhadra Assistant Commissioner, DAHD, GOI, New Delhi
4. Dr. Adhiraj Mishra, Assistant Commissioner, DAHD, GOI, New Delhi
5. Dr. Taruneet Kaur, Consultant, RGM, DAHD
6. Dr. Harshla Gupta, Consultant, RGM, DAHD.

State Representatives:

1. CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, Chhattisgarh
2. CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, Odisha
3. CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, Punjab
4. CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, Uttarakhand
5. Director, Department of Animal Husbandry, - Jammu & Kashmir
6. Director, Department of Animal Husbandry, - Ladakh
7. Director, Department of Animal Husbandry Goa
8. CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, Himachal Pradesh.
9. COMFED, Bihar
10. CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, Jharkhand
11. CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, Madhya Pradesh
12. CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, West Bengal
13. CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, Arunachal Pradesh
14. CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, Assam
15. CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, Manipur
16. CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, Meghalaya
17. CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, Mizoram
18. CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, Nagaland
19. CEO, CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, Sikkim
20. CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, Tripura
21. CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, Andhra Pradesh
22. CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, Karnataka

2022

23. CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, Telengana
24. CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, Tamil Nadu
25. CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, Gujarat
26. CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, Haryana
27. CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, Uttar Pradesh
28. CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, Maharashtra
29. CEO, Livestock Development Board, Department of Animal Husbandry, Rajasthan

Annexure-II**State-wise unspent balance as per EAT module of PFMS portal**

S. No	States/UTs	Unspent (Rs in crore)
1	Chhattisgarh	31.86
2	Odisha	45.43
3	Punjab	45.26
4	Uttarakhand	28.36
5	Jammu & Kashmir	161.00
6	Himachal Pradesh	618.00
7	Gujarat	58.59
8	Haryana	33.86
9	Jharkhand	-20.57
10	Karnataka	37.33
11	Madhya Pradesh	61.43
12	Maharashtra	49.78
13	Meghalaya	18.21
14	Manipur	6.94
15	Mizoram	557.32
16	Nagaland	7.37
17	Tripura	30.08
18	Sikkim	7.69
19	Rajasthan	38.04
20	Tamil Nadu	36.66
21	Telengana	75.57
22	Uttar Pradesh	86.45
23	Uttaranchal	28.36
24	West Bengal	14.83
25	COMFED, Bihar	77.39
26	Arunachal Pradesh	23.97
27	Andhra Pradesh	113.65

3/2/20